

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,814	10/30/2003	Hugh S. Njemanze	25137-11333	2475
758 FENWICK & Y	758 7590 02/05/2007 FENWICK & WEST LLP		EXAMINER	
SILICON VAI	LLEY CENTER		KIM, PAUL	
801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WOOTVITAL	VIII (1) (012) (012)		2161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/698,814	NJEMANZE, HUGH S.				
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul Kim	2161				
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Am</u>	endment after Non-Final				
(1) <u>Paul Kim</u> .	(3) <u>Sabra-Anne Truesdal</u>	<u>e</u> .				
(2) Apu Mofiz (SPE).	(4)					
Date of Interview: 30 January 2007	Time:					
	nt's representative)					
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
35 U.S.C. 101						
Claims discussed: Amended claims 24, 36, and 44						
Prior art documents discussed:						
N/A	•					
Part II.		•				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview</li> </ul>						
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.						
·						
		•				
	•	•				
Jant In						
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney Truesdale and Examiner Kim discussed the 35 U.S.C. 101 issues related to the aforementioned claims above. Examiner Kim noted he had conferred with Supervisor Examiner Apu Mofiz and that the claimed invention was allowable but for the 35 U.S.C. 101 issues. Examiner Kim attempted to expedite prosecution by suggesting that certain amendments be made such that the application would be put in condition for allowance. Attorney Truesdale disagreed and requested to speak with SPE Mofiz, whereupon SPE Mofiz confirmed the 35 U.S.C. 101 issues within the pending claims. Attorney Truesdale asserted further arguments regarding said 35 U.S.C. 101 issues, whereupon Examiner Kim and SPE Mofiz decided that expedition of prosecution was no longer feasible. Accordingly, Examiner Kim notified Attorney Truesdale that an Office action would be mailed and the application would take its due course.

My Morison, My Orix Sign